Proposal for Parity Nonconservation Measurements inSingle Trapped Ba⁺

Anupriya Jayakumar, Spencer R Williams, Matthew R Hoffman, Boris Blinov and Norval Fortson Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195

Introduction

- \bullet The weak interaction between the nucleons and the electrons in the electrons of Z . Because results in perity paper atoms through the exchange of Z_0 Bosons results in parity non conservation (PNC).^{1, 2}
- PNC results in the mixing of P states into S states $(S = S + S)$

Solution and proposition of proposition of proposition $\varepsilon_{PNC}P$), manifesting as a non-vanishing electric dipole transition amplitude $E1_{PNC}$ ^{1, 2} between S and the D states.
- \bullet Interference between $E1_{PNC}$ and an allowed electromagnetic
transition annihindo gives the measure of the PNC emplitude 3 transition amplitude gives the measure of the PNC amplitude. 3

Motivation

- PNC measurements are ^a tool for studying electroweak ^physics at low energy scale, with an opportunity to explore for physicsbeyond the standard model.⁴⁻⁶
- So far, the best PNC measurements have been reported with ^a beam of cesium atoms with an experimental uncertainty of 0.35% ⁷. PNC measurements with trapped ions offer better experimental procision experimental precision.
- \bullet We propose to measure the PNC amplitude in free space using a free space using a free space using 2051 nm loser instead of a standing wave easity to free running 2051 nm laser instead of ^a standing wave cavity toavoid the complications involved in this case.

Advantage of using Ba+ for PNC measurements

- \bullet Precision measurements have been done previously with Ba^{+,8,9}
- \bullet Ba⁺ has an electronic structure similar to that of Cs, thus enabling similar sub person provision in atomic theory calculation $\frac{10}{2}$ similar sub percent precision in atomic theory calculation.¹⁰
- For the Ba ion $Z = 56$, relativistic correction factor to the Z^3
scaling for $Z > 50$ is $V(Z, B) = 2.02$ with the corresponding scaling for $Z > 50$ is $K(Z, R) = 2.9^2$ with the corresponding enhancement factor of $Z^3 K(Z, R) \approx 510 \times 10^3$.
- Accuracy of a single Ba⁺ PNC measurement is predicted to be 0.120411 0.13% ¹¹

The updated proposal

In this updated proposal, we intend to access the transition
6.8 $(E-1) \leftrightarrow 5D$ $(E'-0)$ in an odd isotope of $R_0 + (137 R_0 + 1)$ $6S_{1/2}(F = 1) \leftrightarrow 5D_{3/2}(F' = 0)$ in an odd isotope of Ba⁺⁽¹³⁷ Ba⁺) with ^a 2051 nm laser to measure the corresponding parityviolating shift. The E2 moment is absent in this transition $(F + \nabla f)$ $F' < 2$; E2 forbidden) and only M1 and $E1_{PNC}$ amplitudes are present.

- \bullet The corresponding geometry is shown above
 \bullet External magnetic field (*B*) sets the quanti
- External magnetic field (B_0) sets the quantization axis and is directed along the Z axis. directed along the Z axis.
- > 2051 nm laser beam along the quantization axis, i.e., $B_0 \parallel \vec{k}$.
- \triangleright Direction of polarization of the laser beam lies in the XY plane **◆** From the figure shown above, $B_x = B$; $B_y = iB'$; $E_x = -iE'$ and
- $E_y = E$ $\triangleleft E$ and E' are in phase with B and B' ; $E = B$ and $E' = B'$.

- \bullet Defining the ratio of the $E1_{PNC}$ amplitude to the M1 amplitude as R, the Rabi frequency associated with the $\Delta m = +1$ and $\Delta m = -1$ transitions are:
- \triangleright $\Omega_{+1,0} \approx M1(B+B')(1+R)$

$$
\geq \Omega_{-1,0} = M1(B - B')(1 - R)
$$

 \blacktriangleright

 $\overline{\Omega^2 + \widetilde{\Omega}^2}$ =

 \bullet On reversing the sense of circular polarization by reversing the external magnetic field $(B \to \tilde{B} \text{ and } B' \to -\tilde{B}')$ we have:

 $\frac{1}{2}$ (1)

$$
λ̄\tilde{u}_{+1,0} = M1 (\tilde{B} - \tilde{B}') (1 + R)
$$

\n
$$
λ̂\tilde{u}_{-1,0} = M1 (\tilde{B} + \tilde{B}') (1 - R)
$$

\n
$$
λ̄\text{Let } Ω^2 = Ω_{+1,0}^2 + Ω_{-1,0}^2 \text{ and } Ω^2 = Ω_{+1,0}^2 + Φ_{-1,0}^2
$$

\n
$$
Ω^2 - Ω^2
$$

 $\frac{1}{B^2 + B'^2}$ + $\frac{1}{1 + R^2}$

Inclusion of the Dipole shift \triangleleft W_{dip} is the off resonant dipole shift: $5D_{22}F^2$ $\frac{\left|\left\langle 6P|\vec{r}\!\cdot\!\vec{E}\big|5D\right\rangle \right|^{2}}{W_{5D3/2}-W_{6P}};$ $W_{dip} = \frac{| \langle 6P | \vec{r} \cdot \vec{E} | 5D \rangle}{W_{5D3/2} - W}$ $5 D_{32} F = 0$ $\propto E^2 + {E'}^2 \approx \text{Intensity}(S)$ $\frac{\Omega^2 - \widetilde{\Omega}^2}{\Omega^2 + \widetilde{\Omega}^2} + \frac{S - \widetilde{S}}{S + \widetilde{S}}$ $\frac{4BB'}{B^2 + B'^2} \cdot \frac{R}{1+R^2}$ $=\frac{4BB}{4}$

 \bullet S can be calibrated by driving the transition $6S_{1/2}(F = 2) \leftrightarrow$ $5D_{3/2}(F' = 0)$, where only E2 amplitude is present and $E1_{PNC}$ amplitude is relatively smaller so that the RHS of Eq. $1 \approx 0$.

Measurement of the $M1$ **amplitude**

- \bullet To measure the $E1_{PNC}$ amplitude using the above described
mathed the M1 amplitude must be measured proceed. method, the M1 amplitude must be measured precisely.
- \bullet The atomic theory calculations predict $M1$ amplitude to be larger than expected due to electron electron expection of fects. On than expected due to electron-electron correlation effects. On comparing the magnitudes of interaction strengths of thecorresponding transition we have¹²⁻¹⁴: $\langle E1_{PNC} \rangle$: $\langle M1 \rangle$: $\langle E2 \rangle \approx$ $1:10^5:10^7$.
- The *M*1 amplitude can be extracted from an $E2 M1$ interference
in $138R \text{ at }$ This requires the meganite field crientation and the in ¹³⁸Ba + . This requires the magnetic field orientation and thepolarization of the 2051 nm laser fields to be known precisely.
- \bullet In this method, the size of M1 and E2 amplitudes is adjusted by controlling the polarization of the 2051 nm less beam and the controlling the polarization of the 2051 nm laser beam and thedirection of the quantization axis.

 \cdot We are currently pursuing the measurement of the *M*1 amplitude.¹⁵

References

1. *Phys. Lett*. B **⁴⁸**, ¹¹¹ (1974) 2. *J. Phys. France*, **35**, 899 (1974)3. *Phys. Scr.* **T40**, 15 (1992) 4. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 2963 (1990)5. *Phys. Rev. C* **⁴⁶**, ²⁵⁸⁷ (1992) 6. *Phys. Rev. ^D* **⁵³**, ²⁷²⁴ (1996) *7. Science,* **275**, 1759 (1997)8. *Phys. Rev. A* **⁷⁷**, ⁰⁵²⁵⁰³ (2008)

9. *Phys. Rev. A* **85**, 043418 (2012) 10. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 203003 (2012) 11. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 2383 (1993) 12. *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 032507 (2007) 13. *Phys. Rev. A* **74**, 062504 (2006). 14. *Phys. Rev. A* **81**, 052506 (2010).15. Manuscript in preparation.

We would like to acknowledge the National Science Foundation gran^tno: PHY-09-06494.